The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte PREETI LAI,
JENNIFER L. HILLMAN,
HENRY YUE,
KARL J. GUEGLER,
NEIL C. CORLEY;
OLGA BANDMAN,
CHANDRA PATTERSON,
GINA A. GORGONE,
MATTHEW R. KASER,
MARIAH R. BAUGHN, and
JANICE AU-YOUNG

MAILED

AUG 1 6 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 09/700,590

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 22, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

We were unable to locate a copy of the Wells, Scott, and Ngo references relied on by the examiner on pages 3-4 of the Examiner's Answer mailed November 30, 2004.

Additionally, we are unable to locate the Abstract to the specification.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to

- locate the missing references (Wells, Scott, and Ngo);
- have complete copies of the Wells, Scott, and Ngo references scanned into the record;
- locate the missing Abstract to the specification;
- have a copy of the Abstract scanned into the record; and
- for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Craig R. Feinberg

Program and Resource Administrator

(571) 272-9797

Foley and Lardner Suite 500 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007

dem